



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/890,903	10/15/2001	Jason Lee Crouse	18617-0001	9491
29052 7	7590 10/08/2003		EXAMINER	
SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP			WONG, STEVEN B	
ATLANTA, C	REE STREET, N.E. GA 30309		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3711	
			DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
نائر		09/890,903	CROUSE, JASON LEE				
	ffice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	-	Steven Wong	3711				
	MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply							
THE MAILI - Extensions o after SIX (6) - If the period f - If NO period - Failure to rep - Any reply rec earned paten	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. For reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we object the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eived by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
<u> </u>	ponsive to communication(s) filed on 28 A						
	• —	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of	•						
4)⊠ Clain	n(s) $5-18$ is/are pending in the application						
4a) O	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Clain	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Clain	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7)☐ Clain	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	n(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Pa	•						
<i>,</i> —	pecification is objected to by the Examine						
,—	rawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	, , , ,		on No.				
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Ackno	wledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)			•				
2) Notice of Dr	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informat	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark	k Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/890,903

Art Unit: 3711

Specification

The amendment to the Abstract has overcome the objection to the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 5-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over St. John (GB 2,258,161). Regarding claim 5, St. John reveals a golf tee (note Figures 2 and 3) comprising a base (13) and a plurality of bristles (26c) that form an annular support surface for a golf ball. Note page 6, line 26 through page 7, line 3 teaching a bristle height of 40mm. St. John also states that this height may be varied. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the bristles of St. John to the instantly disclosed height in order to allow the golfer to tee the golf ball at a particular height. Note also Figure 2 showing the bristles in a vertical orientation when supporting the golf ball.

Regarding claims 6 and 7, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the annular surface of St. John with an inside radius of 5 mm and an outside radius of 7.5 mm as the applicant has not shown the criticality for the claimed dimensions and it appears that the dimensions shown and taught by St. John would accomplish similar purposes.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,903

Art Unit: 3711

Regarding claims 8, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the clusters with 10 to 25 bristles in order to properly support the golf ball.

Regarding claim 9, note page 7, lines 24-26 stating that the bristles are preferably formed of plastic. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the bristles from nylon with a thickness of 0.4 mm in order to properly support the golf ball and take advantage of that material's well known physical characteristics.

Regarding claims 10-18, note Figures 2 and 3 showing a circular shape for the upper face of the base (13). Note page 6, lines 26 and 27 teaching a diameter of 20mm. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the base with a diameter of 18mm as the applicant has not shown the criticality for the claimed dimension and it appears that the dimension taught by St. John would accomplish similar purposes.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's remarks filed August 28, 2003 have been fully considered but are deemed to be most in view of the new grounds of rejection. It should be noted, however, that the recitation "vertical orientation" is not seen as precluding splaying of the bristles. Even assuming that the bristles of the references splay to some extent due to the weight of the golf ball, this does not preclude them from being seen as extending in a vertical orientation.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/890,903

Art Unit: 3711

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Wong whose telephone number is 703-308-3135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Sewell can be reached on 703-308-2126. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-3579 for regular communications and 703-308-7768 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Steven Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711

SBW October 1, 2003